इनमें शामिल है: व्यापार मेलों में भाग लेना, प्रदर्शनियां तथा क्रेता-विक्रेता बैठकें, वाणिज्यिक व्यवसाय प्रतिनिधिमंडलों का आदान-प्रदान। सोवियत संघ के साथ विचार-विमर्श करने के बाद, वार्षिक व्यापार संलेख तथा पंचवर्षीय व्यापार योजना भी तैयार की जाती है और भारत-सोवियत संयुक्त आयोग के मंच द्वारा आवधिक समीक्षा की जाती है।

"राष्ट्रीय कपड़ा निगम को करोड़ों का चूना" शीर्षक के अन्तर्गत प्रकाशित समाचार

2381. **श्री जगदम्बी प्रसाद यादव** : क्या पूर्ति और वस्त्र मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या सरकार का ध्यान 18 जुलाई, 1985 के "जनसत्ता" में "राष्ट्रीय कपड़ा निगम को करोड़ों का चूना" शीर्षक के अन्तर्गत छपे समाचार की ओर दिलाया गया है; और

(ख) यदि हां, तो इस पर सरकार की प्रतिक्रिया क्या है ?

पूर्ति और वस्त्र मंत्रालय के राज्य मंत्री (श्री चन्द्र शेखर सिंह) : (क) जी हां,

(ख) कथित समाचार में लगाए गए आरोपों के संबंध में रिपोर्ट भेजने के लिए एन० टी० सी० (होल्डिंग कम्पनी) से कहा गया था। रिपोर्ट में कहा गया है कि ऐसे छलपूर्ण सौदे नहीं किये गए।

Properties acquired by the income tax department in Delhi

2382. SHRI KAILASH PATI MISHRA: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) what is the number of properties in the Union Territory of Delhi which have been acquired by the Income tax Department, Delhi under the acquisition proceedings under section 269 of the Income Tax Act, 1961, since the introduction of this provision in the Act, yearwise, till 30th June, 1985;

(b) whether it is a fact that in large number of cases preliminary notices under section 269(d) were issued and subsequently filed/dropped; if so, what are the reasons therefor;

(c) what is the total number of notices issued under section 269(d) during the year 1980, 1981, 1982, 1983, 1984 and 1985 (upto 30th June, 1985) by the IAC (Acquisitions) in Delhi; and

(d) what is the total number of proceedings dropped during the above period, year-wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI JANARDHAN POOJARI): (a) Since the introduction of the provisions of Chapter XXA of the Income-tax Act, 1961, only one property has been acquired in 1974. However, acquisition orders have been passed u/s 269 F(6) of the Income-tax Act, in 42 cases; but these orders have not yet become final.

(b) Yes, Sir. Since the introduction of provisions of acquisition under Chapter XXA of the Income-tax Act, 1961, the number of notices issued under Section 269D(1) notifying properties proposed for acquisition upto June, 1985, were 10963. Of these, proceedings in 6114 cases have been dropped.

The reasons for dropping of proceedings under Section 269F(7) are:—

(i) Proceedings are initiated in all cases where prima-facie difference between fair market value and apparent consideration exceeds 15 per cent. Cases where there is a prima-facie evidence that there is an under-statement of consideration with the obejct of evading tax are notified. Since these presumptions which are available to the Department are rebuttable, the transferee can rebut that the understatement was done for objects other than tax evasion or that there was no understatement.

(ii) Subsequent valuation of property at a lower value due to reasons such as tenancy, location and peculiar prob-

lems of the property leads to dropping of the proceedings.

(iii) Various High Courts have held that the presumption that where the fair market value of the property exceeds the apparent consideration by more than 25 per cent of such apparent consideration, it shall be conclusive proof that the consideration as agreed to between the parties has not been truly stated is not available with the Competent Authority while initiating the proceedings for acquisition. These judgements have also caused difficulties.

(c) The information is appended below:

Proceedings initiated (including 37 EE)

1980	451	
1981	946	
1982	2269	
1983	2020	
1984	1748	
1985	2357	(upto 30-6-1985)

(d) The total number of proceedings dropped during the above period, yearwise is as under:—

Proceedings dropped (including 37 EE)

1980	127	
1981	112	
1982	143	
1983	490	
1984	942	
1985	2338	(upto 30-6-1985)

Committee on bonus to the Central Government employees

2383. SHRI KAILASH PATI MISHRA: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Bazle Karim Committee appointed by Government on bonus to the Central Government employees has submitted its report to Government;

(b) if so, what are the main recommendations of the Committee and when the Report was submitted to Government; and

(c) what action has been taken by Government on the recommendations made by the Committee?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI JANARDHAN POOJARI): (a) to (c) A 'Group of Officers' was set up under the Chairmanship of Shri Bazle Karim, the then Secretary (Coordination) to review the Productivity Linked Bonus Schemes introduced previously in Railways, Posts & Telegraphs, Defence Organisations; and to consider the demand of the staff side for extension of Productivity linked Bonus to the remaining Central Government employees who had not been covered under Productivity Linked Bonus Schemes. In its report submitted in May 1983, the 'Group of Officers' was critical of Productivity Linked Bonus Schemes introduced previousy and had suggested constitution of a single scheme for all the Central Government employees. They had also suggested various guidelines for working out of the formula namely 10—15 days wages as bonus for the base year subject to a maximum of 30 days wages as bonus. They had further suggested that formulae prepared for all the Ministries/Departments should be made effective after the National Council of J.C.M. had had an opportunity to consider them and found them balanced in approcah and content. No final decision has yet been taken on the recommendations of the report of the 'Group of Officers'.